arXiv:1103.4701v1 [nlin.SI] 24 Mar 2011

Lattice representation and dark solitons of the Fokas-Lenells equation.

V.E. Vekslerchik[1,2]

[1] Institute for Radiophysics and Electronics, Kharkov, Ukraine
[2] Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Ciudad Real, Spain

E-mail: `vekslerchik@yahoo.com`

Abstract. This work is devoted to an integrable generalization of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation proposed by Fokas and Lenells. I discuss the relationships between this equation and other integrable models. Using the reduction of the Fokas-Lenells equation to the already known ones I obtain the N-dark soliton solutions.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Ik, 02.30.Jr, 45.05.+x

Submitted to: *Nonlinearity*

1. Introduction.

This paper is devoted to the Fokas-Lenells equation (FLE) [1, 2, 3],

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} u_{xy} + u - 2iuvu_x & = & 0 \\ v_{xy} + v + 2iuvv_x & = & 0 \end{array} \right. \qquad (1)$$

which appears in physical applications in the form

$$u_{xy} + u \mp 2i|u|^2 u_x = 0. \qquad (2)$$

FLE is known (see [1]) to be an integrable equation describing the first negative flow of the integrable hierarchy associated with the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger (DNLS) equation. In papers [2, 3] the authors derived the FLE from the Maxwell equations for the propagation of femtosecond pulses in optical fibers, established its bi-Hamiltonian structure, elaborated the inverse scattering transform scheme for the case of zero boundary conditions and obtained the N-bright soliton solutions. The aim of this paper is two-fold. Firstly, I want to derive the N-dark soliton solutions. Secondly, I am going to establish the relationships between the FLE and other integrable models: the nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation, the Merola-Ragnisco-Tu (MRT) equations [4] and the Ablowitz-Ladik (AL) model [5].

It this paper I present the commuting pair of the Bäcklund transformations (section 2) which I use in section 3 to obtain the lattice representation of the FLE. After bilinearizing the lattice equations and establishing their relationship with the AL hierarchy I use the N-soliton solutions of the latter to obtain the N-soliton solutions for the FLE (section 4). Finally, in section 5 I discuss the links between the DNLS, NLS, MRT and AL equations.

2. Bäcklund transformations.

The method of this work is based on two transformations, $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\check{\mathcal{T}}$, given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{T}}: \qquad (u,v) \to (\hat{u}, \hat{v}), \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} \hat{v} & = & -iv_y + uv^2 \\ \hat{u} & = & \dfrac{i}{\hat{v}_x} - \dfrac{1}{v} \end{array} \right. \qquad (3)$$

and

$$\check{\mathcal{T}}: \qquad (u,v) \to (\check{u}, \check{v}), \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} \check{u} & = & iu_y + u^2 v \\ \check{v} & = & \dfrac{1}{i\check{u}_x} - \dfrac{1}{u}. \end{array} \right. \qquad (4)$$

These transformations possess two important properties:

- Transformations $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\check{\mathcal{T}}$ send solutions of the FLE to solutions of the FLE.
- Transformations $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\check{\mathcal{T}}$ are inverse when applied to solutions of the FLE.

To prove the first statement consider the quantities $\Delta[u,v]$ and $\bar{\Delta}[u,v]$ which are the left-hand sides of equations (1):

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \Delta[u,v] & = & u_{xy} + u - 2iuvu_x \\ \bar{\Delta}[u,v] & = & v_{xy} + v + 2iuvv_x \end{array} \qquad (5)$$

It can be shown by direct calculations that if pairs (u, v) and $(\hat{u}, \hat{v})$ are related by (3), then

$$\Delta[\hat{u}, \hat{v}] = \frac{\bar{\Delta}[u, v]}{v^2} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{\bar{\Delta}\left[\hat{u}, \hat{v}\right]}{\hat{v}_x^2} \tag{6}$$

$$\bar{\Delta}[\hat{u}, \hat{v}] = v^2\Delta[u, v] - \left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{2\hat{v}}{v}\right)\bar{\Delta}[u, v]. \tag{7}$$

From these equations one can easily see that if u and v are solutions of the FLE, $\Delta[u, v] = \bar{\Delta}[u, v] = 0$, then $\Delta[\hat{u}, \hat{v}] = \bar{\Delta}[\hat{u}, \hat{v}] = 0$ which means that $\hat{u}$ and $\hat{v}$ also satisfy the FLE. In a similar way one can show that Δ and $\bar{\Delta}$ calculated for the $\check{\mathcal{T}}$-transformed functions are given by

$$\Delta[\check{u}, \check{v}] = \left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{2\check{u}}{u}\right)\Delta[u, v] + u^2\bar{\Delta}[u, v] \tag{8}$$

$$\bar{\Delta}[\check{u}, \check{v}] = \frac{\Delta[u, v]}{u^2} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{\Delta\left[\check{u}, \check{v}\right]}{\check{u}_x^2}. \tag{9}$$

Thus the identities $\Delta[u, v] = \bar{\Delta}[u, v] = 0$ lead to $\Delta[\check{u}, \check{v}] = \bar{\Delta}[\check{u}, \check{v}] = 0$. To summarize,

$$\Delta[u, v] = \bar{\Delta}[u, v] = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \left\{\begin{array}{l} \Delta[\hat{u}, \hat{v}] = \bar{\Delta}[\hat{u}, \hat{v}] = 0 \\ \Delta[\check{u}, \check{v}] = \bar{\Delta}[\check{u}, \check{v}] = 0 \end{array}\right. \tag{10}$$

which proves the fact that transformations $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\check{\mathcal{T}}$ send solutions of the FLE to solutions of the FLE.

To demonstrate that

$$\hat{\mathcal{T}} \circ \check{\mathcal{T}} = \check{\mathcal{T}} \circ \hat{\mathcal{T}} = 1 \bmod \left(\Delta, \bar{\Delta}\right) \tag{11}$$

consider the results of joint action of $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$- and $\check{\mathcal{T}}$-transformations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u} \\ \tilde{v} \end{pmatrix} = \hat{\mathcal{T}}\check{\mathcal{T}}\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \left\{\begin{array}{lcl} \tilde{v} & = & -i\check{v}_y + \check{u}\check{v}^2 \\ \tilde{u} & = & \dfrac{i}{\tilde{v}_x} - \dfrac{1}{\check{v}} \end{array}\right. \tag{12}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \breve{u} \\ \breve{v} \end{pmatrix} = \check{\mathcal{T}}\hat{\mathcal{T}}\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \left\{\begin{array}{lcl} \breve{u} & = & i\hat{u}_y + \hat{u}^2\hat{v} \\ \breve{v} & = & \dfrac{1}{i\breve{u}_x} - \dfrac{1}{\hat{u}}, \end{array}\right. \tag{13}$$

where $\hat{u}$, $\hat{v}$, $\check{u}$ and $\check{v}$ are defined in (3), (4). Using definitions (4) one can obtain from (12)

$$\tilde{u} - u = \frac{u}{\check{u}_x\check{v}\tilde{v}_x}\,\bar{\Delta}[\check{u}, \check{v}], \qquad \tilde{v} - v = \frac{1}{\check{u}_x^2}\,\Delta[\check{u}, \check{v}]. \tag{14}$$

In a similar way definitions (13) and (3) lead to

$$\breve{u} - u = \frac{1}{\hat{v}_x^2}\,\bar{\Delta}[\hat{u}, \hat{v}], \qquad \breve{v} - v = \frac{v}{\hat{u}\hat{v}_x\breve{u}_x}\,\Delta[\hat{u}, \hat{v}]. \tag{15}$$

These identities together with (10) prove (11)

In what follows I will use the symbol $\mathcal{T}$ for both transformations,

$$\hat{\mathcal{T}} = \mathcal{T}, \qquad \check{\mathcal{T}} = \mathcal{T}^{-1} \tag{16}$$

bearing in mind that $\mathcal{T}$-transformations are used only with solutions of the FLE.

3. Lattice representation of the FLE and bilinearization.

Using the transformations discussed in the previous section I introduce (instead of single solution of the FLE, u and v) an infinite sequence of solutions u_n and v_n defined by iteration of $\mathcal{T}$-transformations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_n \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{T}^n \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}, \qquad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ... \tag{17}$$

In terms of u_n and v_n the definitions of transformations $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}^{-1}$, equations (3) and (4), can be written as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} -i\partial_x v_n & = & \dfrac{v_{n-1}}{1 + u_n v_{n-1}} \\ -i\partial_y v_n & = & v_{n+1} - u_n v_n^2 \end{array} \right. \tag{18}$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} i\partial_x u_n & = & \dfrac{u_{n+1}}{1 + u_{n+1} v_n} \\ i\partial_y u_n & = & u_{n-1} - u_n^2 v_n. \end{array} \right. \tag{19}$$

It is easy to see that the above equations can be regrouped in two systems

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} i\partial_y u_n & = & u_{n-1} - u_n^2 v_n \\ -i\partial_y v_n & = & v_{n+1} - u_n v_n^2 \end{array} \right. \tag{20}$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} i\partial_x u_n & = & \dfrac{u_{n+1}}{1 + u_{n+1} v_n} \\ -i\partial_x v_n & = & \dfrac{v_{n-1}}{1 + u_n v_{n-1}} \end{array} \right. \tag{21}$$

These equations are not new: they belong to the MRT hierarchy that has been introduced, in the framework of the reduction technique for Poisson-Nijenhuis structures, in [4] as an integrable lattice hierarchy, whose continuum limit is the AKNS hierarchy. In [4] the authors demonstrated that this hierarchy is endowed with a canonical Poisson structure and admits a vector generalisation. They solved the associated spectral problem and explicity contructed action-angle variables through the r-matrix approach. In this work I show that equations (20) and (21), as well as the whole MRT hierarchy are closely related to another discrete version of the AKNS equations, namely the famous AL hierarchy. To this end I bilinearize equations (20) and (21) by introducing the τ-functions ρ_n, σ_n and τ_n related by

$$\tau_n^2 - \tau_{n-1}\tau_{n+1} = \rho_n \sigma_n \tag{22}$$

as follows:

$$u_n = \frac{\sigma_{n-1}}{\tau_n}, \qquad v_n = \frac{\rho_n}{\tau_{n-1}}. \tag{23}$$

By straightforward algebra one ca obtain that

$$i\partial_x u_n - \frac{u_{n+1}}{1 + u_{n+1} v_n} = \frac{1}{\tau_{n-1}\tau_n} \left[\mathcal{I}_{n-1}^{(+)} - u_n \mathcal{J}_{n-1} \right] \tag{24}$$

$$-i\partial_x v_n - \frac{v_{n-1}}{1+u_n v_{n-1}} = \frac{1}{\tau_{n-1}\tau_n}\left[\mathcal{I}_n^{(-)} - v_n \mathcal{J}_{n-1}\right] \tag{25}$$

$$i\partial_y u_n - u_{n-1} + u_n^2 v_n = \frac{1}{\tau_{n-1}\tau_n}\left[\mathcal{K}_{n-1}^{(+)} - u_n \mathcal{L}_{n-1}\right] \tag{26}$$

$$-i\partial_y v_n - v_{n+1} + u_n v_n^2 = \frac{1}{\tau_{n-1}\tau_n}\left[\mathcal{K}_n^{(-)} - v_n \mathcal{L}_{n-1}\right] \tag{27}$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_n^{(+)} = iD_x\, \sigma_n \cdot \tau_n - \tau_{n-1}\sigma_{n+1} \tag{28}$$
$$\mathcal{I}_n^{(-)} = iD_x\, \tau_n \cdot \rho_n - \rho_{n-1}\tau_{n+1} \tag{29}$$
$$\mathcal{J}_n = iD_x\, \tau_{n+1} \cdot \tau_n + \rho_n\sigma_{n+1} \tag{30}$$
$$\mathcal{K}_n^{(+)} = iD_y\, \sigma_n \cdot \tau_n - \sigma_{n-1}\tau_{n+1} \tag{31}$$
$$\mathcal{K}_n^{(-)} = iD_y\, \tau_n \cdot \rho_n - \tau_{n-1}\rho_{n+1} \tag{32}$$
$$\mathcal{L}_n = iD_y\, \tau_{n+1} \cdot \tau_n - \sigma_n\rho_{n+1} \tag{33}$$

and D_x and D_y are the Hirota's bilinear operators defined as

$$D_x^k\, D_y^l\, a(x,y) \cdot b(x,y) = \left.\frac{\partial^{k+l}}{\partial\xi^k \partial\eta^l}\; a(x+\xi, y+\eta)\, b(x-\xi, y-\eta)\right|_{\xi=\eta=0}. \tag{34}$$

One can easily see that equations (20) and (21) can be satisfied by imposing the conditions

$$\mathcal{I}_n^{(\pm)} = \mathcal{J}_n = \mathcal{K}_n^{(\pm)} = \mathcal{L}_n = 0. \tag{35}$$

Thus, instead of system (20) and (21) one can solve the bilinear system given by

$$iD_x\, \sigma_n \cdot \tau_n - \tau_{n-1}\sigma_{n+1} = 0 \tag{36}$$
$$iD_x\, \tau_n \cdot \rho_n - \rho_{n-1}\tau_{n+1} = 0 \tag{37}$$
$$iD_x\, \tau_{n+1} \cdot \tau_n + \rho_n\sigma_{n+1} = 0 \tag{38}$$
$$iD_y\, \sigma_n \cdot \tau_n - \sigma_{n-1}\tau_{n+1} = 0 \tag{39}$$
$$iD_y\, \tau_n \cdot \rho_n - \tau_{n-1}\rho_{n+1} = 0 \tag{40}$$
$$iD_y\, \tau_{n+1} \cdot \tau_n - \sigma_n\rho_{n+1} = 0. \tag{41}$$

Equations (36)–(41) belong to the AL hierarchy that has been introduced in [5]. Indeed, in terms of the functions

$$q_n = \frac{\sigma_n}{\tau_n}, \qquad r_n = \frac{\rho_n}{\tau_n} \tag{42}$$

equations (36)–(41) together with (22) become

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} i\partial_x q_n &= (1 - q_n r_n)\, q_{n+1} \\ -i\partial_x r_n &= (1 - q_n r_n)\, r_{n-1} \end{aligned} \right. \tag{43}$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} i\partial_y q_n &= (1 - q_n r_n)\, q_{n-1} \\ -i\partial_y r_n &= (1 - q_n r_n)\, r_{n+1} \end{aligned} \right. , \tag{44}$$

equations that describe the simplest flows of the AL hierarchy and that can be viewed as superposition of the famous discrete NLS and discrete modified KdV equations.

That means that one can use the already known solutions of the AL hierarchy to construct the ones for the MRT equation and hence for the FLE.

4. Dark soliton solutions for the FLE.

In the appendix one can find dark-soliton solutions of the generalized AL equations [6], the system which is a generalization of (36)–(41). Using these results solutions of the latter can be written as

$$\tau_n = \tau_n^0, \qquad \sigma_n = \tau_n^1, \qquad \rho_n = \tau_n^{-1} \tag{45}$$

where

$$\tau_n^m(x,y) = (is)^{m^2} r^{n^2} \, \mu^m(x,y) \, \nu^n(x,y) \, \omega_n^m(x,y) \tag{46}$$

and

$$\omega_n^m(x,y) = \det \left| \mathbb{I} + A(x,y) \mathcal{M}^m \mathcal{N}^n \right| . \tag{47}$$

Here $A(x,y)$ is a square matrix with the elements

$$A_{jk}(x,y) = \frac{a_k(x,y)}{L_j - R_k}, \qquad j,k = 1, ..., N \tag{48}$$

$$\mathcal{M} = \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{1 - rL_j}{1 - rR_j} \right), \qquad \mathcal{N} = \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{L_j}{R_j} \right), \tag{49}$$

L and R are diagonal matrices, $L = \operatorname{diag}(L_j)$ and $R = \operatorname{diag}(R_j)$, related by

$$(L - \mathbb{I})(R - \mathbb{I}) = s^2 \mathbb{I} \tag{50}$$

($\mathbb{I}$ is the $N \times N$-unit matrix) with parameters r and s being related by

$$r^2 - s^2 = 1. \tag{51}$$

The dependence of the solution on the variables x and y is given by

$$a_k(x,y) = a_k^{(0)} \exp\left\{ -ir(L_k - R_k)x - ir\left(L_k^{-1} - R_k^{-1} \right) y \right\}, \tag{52}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x,y) &= \mu^{(0)} \exp\left\{ -ir^2 (x+y) \right\} \\ \nu(x,y) &= \nu^{(0)} \exp\left\{ -is^2 (x-y) \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

where $a_k^{(0)}$, $\mu^{(0)}$ and $\nu^{(0)}$ are arbitrary constants.

Bearing in mind physical applications, one can impose on the matrices L and R some restrictions which lead to the involution

$$v_n = -\overline{u_{-n}}. \tag{54}$$

Note that this involution, which is 'natural' for the MRT equations, is different from a more usual one for the AL system ($r_n = -\overline{q_n}$). However, the former is sufficient to derive the 'physical' solutions for the FLE, $v = -\overline{u}$, which is the main goal of the present paper. Thus, let us rewrite (46) imposing the restrictions

$$r > 1 \tag{55}$$

(which implies reality of s),

$$\begin{aligned} L_k &= r + s\exp\left(i\vartheta_k\right), \\ R_k &= r + s\exp\left(-i\vartheta_k\right), \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

$\left|\nu^{(0)}\right| = r\left|\mu^{(0)}\right|$, and introducing the real matrix C,

$$C = A\mathcal{N}^{-1/2}. \tag{57}$$

This leads, after some trivial modifications of the above formulae, to the following result for the functions u_n and v_n given by (23):

$$u_n(x,y) = is\, e^{-i\varphi(x,y)} r^{-2n} \frac{\det\left|\delta_{jk} + C_{jk}(x,y)e^{i(2n\beta_k + \alpha_k)}\right|_{j,k=1}^{N}}{\det\left|\delta_{jk} + C_{jk}(x,y)e^{i(2n+1)\beta_k}\right|_{j,k=1}^{N}} \tag{58}$$

$$v_n(x,y) = is\, e^{i\varphi(x,y)} r^{2n} \frac{\det\left|\delta_{jk} + C_{jk}(x,y)e^{i(2n\beta_k - \alpha_k)}\right|_{j,k=1}^{N}}{\det\left|\delta_{jk} + C_{jk}(x,y)e^{i(2n-1)\beta_k}\right|_{j,k=1}^{N}} \tag{59}$$

Here δ_{jk} is the Kronecker's delta,

$$\varphi(x,y) = x + \left(2r^2 - 1\right)y + \varphi^{(0)}, \tag{60}$$

$\varphi^{(0)}$ is an arbitrary real constant,

$$C_{jk}(x,y) = \frac{c_k^{(0)} \exp\left[2r\left(\lambda_k x - \lambda_k^{-1} y\right)\sin\beta_k\right]}{\sin\left(\dfrac{\vartheta_j + \vartheta_k}{2}\right)} \tag{61}$$

where $c_k^{(0)}$ are arbitrary real constants,

$$\lambda_k = \left|r + s e^{i\vartheta_k}\right| \tag{62}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k &= 2\arg\left(s + r e^{i\vartheta_k}\right) - \beta_k, \\ \beta_k &= \arg\left(r + s e^{i\vartheta_k}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

Comparing (58) and (59) it is easy to see that they indeed satisfy (54). Finally, setting $n = 0$ one comes to the dark-soliton solutions of the FLE:

$$u(x,y) = is\, e^{-i\varphi(x,y)} \frac{\det\left|\delta_{jk} + C_{jk}(x,y)e^{i\alpha_k}\right|_{j,k=1}^{N}}{\det\left|\delta_{jk} + C_{jk}(x,y)e^{i\beta_k}\right|_{j,k=1}^{N}} \tag{64}$$

and

$$v(x,y) = -\overline{u(x,y)}. \tag{65}$$

5. Intersections of hierarchies.

To conclude this paper I would like to discuss the question of 'intersections' of different integrable hierarchies that one can find in the previous sections: the DNLS, AKNS, MRT and AL hierarchies.

The FLE belongs, as has been shown in [1], to the DNLS hierarchy, describing its first negative flow. Equations (20) and (21) belong to the MRT hierarchy, which, in its turn, can be viewed as a discretization of the AKNS hierarchy. Finally, the bilinearization of the FLE and MRT equations (20) and (21) has led us to the AL hierarchy. To summarize, in this paper we were dealing with four integrable models: DNLS, NLS, MRT and AL systems. Now I would like to present some explicit formulae expressing solutions of the first three equations/hierarchies in terms of solutions of the AL model, which is known to be rather 'universal' and to 'contain' inside many other integrable models (see lecture [7] or original papers [6, 8, 9]). To do this I need more equations from the AL hierarchy (its second positive flow) which can be presented in the bilinear form as

$$\begin{aligned} D_t\,\sigma_n\cdot\tau_n &= D_x\,\sigma_{n+1}\cdot\tau_{n-1} \\ D_t\,\tau_n\cdot\rho_n &= D_x\,\tau_{n+1}\cdot\rho_{n-1} \\ D_t\,\tau_{n+1}\cdot\tau_n &= D_x\,\rho_n\cdot\sigma_{n+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

These equations are compatible with (36)–(41) and (22) being the members of the same hierarchy. Thus the system consisting of (36)–(41), (66) and (22) has nontrivial solutions and one can prove by direct calculations the following results:

- For any n the functions

  $$U=\frac{\sigma_n}{\tau_n}, \qquad V=\frac{\rho_{n-1}}{\tau_{n-1}}, \qquad n=\text{constant} \tag{67}$$

  solve the DNLS equation

  $$\left\{ \begin{aligned} iU_t+U_{xx}+2iUV\,U_x &= 0 \\ -iV_t+V_{xx}-2iUV\,V_x &= 0 \end{aligned} \right. \tag{68}$$

- For any n the functions

  $$Q=\frac{\sigma_{n+1}}{\tau_n}, \qquad R=\frac{\rho_{n-1}}{\tau_n}, \qquad n=\text{constant} \tag{69}$$

  solve the NLS equation

  $$\left\{ \begin{aligned} iQ_t+Q_{xx}+2Q^2R &= 0 \\ -iR_t+R_{xx}+2QR^2 &= 0 \end{aligned} \right. \tag{70}$$

- The functions

  $$u_n=\frac{\sigma_{n-1}}{\tau_n}, \qquad v_n=\frac{\rho_n}{\tau_{n-1}} \tag{71}$$

  solve the MRT equations (20) and (21).

These results can be extended to the level of hierarchies:

- For any n the functions U and V given by (67) where ρ_n, σ_n and τ_n are solutions of the AL hierarchy solve all equations of the DNLS hierarchy.
- For any n the functions Q and R given by (69) where ρ_n, σ_n and τ_n are solutions of the AL hierarchy solve all equations of the AKNS hierarchy.
- The functions u_n and v_n given by (71) where ρ_n, σ_n and τ_n are solutions of the AL hierarchy solve all equations of the MRT hierarchy.

Acknowledgements.

This work has been partially supported by grants FIS2007-29093-E (Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia, Spain) and PCI-08-0093 (Consejería de Educación y Ciencia, Junta de Comunidades de Castilla-La Mancha, Spain).

Appendix A. Dark solitons of the AL equations

The dark solitons for the AL equations were obtained in [10] using the inverse scattering method. Here I give another, purely algebraic, derivation of these solutions which is based on the Fay-like identities for the determinants of some special matrices.

To make the following formulae more readable and to expose the inner structure of equations (36)–(41) and (22) I rewrite them in terms of the double infinite set of tau-functions, τ_n^m, given by

$$\tau_n^0 = \tau_n, \qquad \tau_n^1 = \sigma_n, \qquad \tau_n^{-1} = \rho_n \tag{A.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_n^{m+1} &= \frac{1}{\tau_n^{m-1}} \left[\left(\tau_n^m\right)^2 - \tau_{n-1}^m \tau_{n+1}^m \right] \\ \tau_n^{-(m+1)} &= \frac{1}{\tau_n^{-(m-1)}} \left[\left(\tau_n^{-m}\right)^2 - \tau_{n-1}^{-m} \tau_{n+1}^{-m} \right] \end{aligned} \qquad m = 1, 2, ... \tag{A.2}$$

In terms of the new tau-functions equations (36)–(41) become

$$iD_x \, \tau_n^{m+1} \cdot \tau_n^m = \tau_{n-1}^m \tau_{n+1}^{m+1} \tag{A.3}$$

$$iD_x \, \tau_{n+1}^m \cdot \tau_n^m = -\tau_n^{m-1} \tau_{n+1}^{m+1} \tag{A.4}$$

$$iD_y \, \tau_n^{m+1} \cdot \tau_n^m = \tau_{n+1}^m \tau_{n-1}^{m+1} \tag{A.5}$$

$$iD_y \, \tau_{n+1}^m \cdot \tau_n^m = \tau_{n+1}^{m-1} \tau_n^{m+1} \tag{A.6}$$

subjected to the restriction (22)

$$\left(\tau_n^m\right)^2 = \tau_n^{m-1} \tau_n^{m+1} + \tau_{n-1}^m \tau_{n+1}^m. \tag{A.7}$$

The dark-soliton solutions of the AL hierarchy are made of the $N \times N$ matrices A that satisfy the equation

$$LA - AR = | \, \ell \, \rangle \langle a | \tag{A.8}$$

where L and R are constant diagonal matrices, $| \, \ell \, \rangle$ is constant N-column, $| \, \ell \, \rangle = (\ell_1, ..., \ell_N)^T$ and $\langle a |$ is N-row depending on the coordinates describing the AL flows,

$\langle a(x,y)| = (a_1(x,y), ..., a_N(x,y))$. By straightforward algebra it can be shown that the determinants

$$\omega\left(A\right) = \det\left|\mathbb{I} + A\right| \tag{A.9}$$

satisfy the Fay-like identity

$$\alpha(\beta-\gamma)\,\omega_\alpha\omega_{\beta\gamma} + \beta(\gamma-\alpha)\,\omega_\beta\omega_{\alpha\gamma} + \gamma(\alpha-\beta)\,\omega_\gamma\omega_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \tag{A.10}$$

where

$$\omega = \omega\left(A\right), \qquad \omega_\zeta = \omega\left(AK_\zeta\right), \qquad \omega_{\xi\eta} = \omega\left(AK_\xi K_\eta\right) \tag{A.11}$$

with

$$K_\zeta = \left(\mathbb{I} - \zeta L\right)\left(\mathbb{I} - \zeta R\right)^{-1}. \tag{A.12}$$

In what follwos I use the doubly infinite family of matrices A_n^m defined by

$$A_n^{m+1} = A_n^m \mathcal{M}, \qquad A_{n+1}^m = A_n^m \mathcal{N} \tag{A.13}$$

where

$$\mathcal{M} = K_r, \qquad \mathcal{N} = K_\infty \tag{A.14}$$

and relate the matrices L and R by

$$\left(L - r\mathbb{I}\right)\left(R - r\mathbb{I}\right) = \left(r^2 - 1\right)\mathbb{I} \tag{A.15}$$

which leads to

$$K_r K_\infty = K_{1/r}. \tag{A.16}$$

Now equation (A.10) with $(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) = (r, 1/r, \infty)$ can be rewritten as

$$\left(\omega_n^m\right)^2 = \rho^2\,\omega_n^{m-1}\,\omega_n^{m+1} + r^2\,\omega_{n-1}^m\,\omega_{n+1}^m \tag{A.17}$$

where

$$\omega_n^m = \omega\left(A_n^m\right) \tag{A.18}$$

and ρ is given by $\rho = \sqrt{1-r^2}$. In a similar way, (A.10) with (β,γ) equal to $(1/r,\infty)$, $(r,1/r)$ and (r,∞) leads to the following identities:

$$(r\alpha - 1)\,\omega_n^m\,\tilde{\omega}_n^{m+1} + \omega_n^{m+1}\,\tilde{\omega}_n^m = r\alpha\,\omega_{n+1}^{m+1}\,\tilde{\omega}_{n-1}^m \tag{A.19}$$

$$(\alpha - r)\,\omega_{n+1}^m\,\tilde{\omega}_n^m + r(1 - r\alpha)\,\omega_n^m\,\tilde{\omega}_{n+1}^m = \alpha\rho^2\,\omega_{n+1}^{m+1}\,\tilde{\omega}_n^{m-1} \tag{A.20}$$

$$(\alpha - r)\,\omega_{n+1}^m\,\tilde{\omega}_n^{m+1} + r\,\omega_n^{m+1}\,\tilde{\omega}_{n+1}^m = \alpha\,\omega_{n+1}^{m+1}\,\tilde{\omega}_n^m. \tag{A.21}$$

with

$$\tilde{\omega}_n^m = \omega\left(A_n^m K_\alpha\right). \tag{A.22}$$

Analysing (A.19)–(A.21) with $\alpha = \lambda(\xi)$ and $\alpha = \bar{\lambda}(\eta)$ where

$$\xi = \frac{\lambda(\xi)\left[r\lambda(\xi) - 1\right]}{\lambda(\xi) - r} \tag{A.23}$$

and

$$\eta = \frac{\bar{\lambda}(\eta) - r}{\bar{\lambda}(\eta)\left[r\bar{\lambda}(\eta) - 1\right]} \tag{A.24}$$

(these equations play the role of the dispersion laws of the positive and negative AL subhierarchies under finite-density boundary conditions) one can conclude, after identifying the Miwa's shifts with the action of the $K_{\lambda(\xi)}K_{1/r}^{-1}$ and $K_{\bar{\lambda}(\eta)}K_{r}^{-1}$, that it is possible to introduce the dependence of A_n^m on an infinite number of 'times' in such a way that ω_n^m become solutions of the functional equations closely related to the so-called functional representation of the AL hierarchy [6]. However, I do not discuss here these equations in details because my purpose is to solve only the simplest AL equations (A.3)–(A.6) which can be done as follows.

Let the x-dependence of A_n^m being determined by

$$i\partial_x A_n^m = A_n^m\, X \tag{A.25}$$

where

$$X = \lim_{\xi \to 0} \frac{1}{\xi}\left[K_{\lambda(\xi)}K_{1/r}^{-1} - \mathbb{I}\right] = r\,(L - R)\,. \tag{A.26}$$

Replacing in α (A.19)–(A.20) with $\lambda(\xi)$ and taking the $\xi \to 0$ limit one comes to

$$iD_x\, \omega_n^{m+1} \cdot \omega_n^m = r^2\left[\omega_{n-1}^m\, \omega_{n+1}^{m+1} - \omega_n^m\, \omega_n^{m+1}\right] \tag{A.27}$$

$$iD_x\, \omega_{n+1}^m \cdot \omega_n^m = \rho^2\left[\omega_n^m\, \omega_{n+1}^m - \omega_n^{m-1}\, \omega_{n+1}^{m+1}\right]. \tag{A.28}$$

In a similar way, introducing the y-dependence of A_n^m by

$$i\partial_y A_n^m = A_n^m\, Y \tag{A.29}$$

with

$$Y = \lim_{\eta \to 0} \frac{1}{\eta}\left[K_{\bar{\lambda}(\eta)}K_{r}^{-1} - \mathbb{I}\right] = r\left(L^{-1} - R^{-1}\right) \tag{A.30}$$

one can get, after taking the $\eta \to 0$ limit in (A.20)–(A.21) with $\alpha = \bar{\lambda}(\eta)$,

$$iD_y\, \omega_n^{m+1} \cdot \omega_n^m = r^2\left[\omega_{n-1}^{m+1}\, \omega_{n+1}^{m} - \omega_n^m\, \omega_n^{m+1}\right] \tag{A.31}$$

$$iD_y\, \omega_{n+1}^m \cdot \omega_n^m = \rho^2\left[\omega_n^{m+1}\, \omega_{n+1}^{m-1} - \omega_n^{m}\, \omega_{n+1}^{m}\right]. \tag{A.32}$$

Now one can obtain solutions of the AL equations by simple transformation

$$\tau_n^m = \rho^{m^2} r^{n^2}\, \mu^m\, \nu^n\, \omega_n^m. \tag{A.33}$$

It is easy to show starting from (A.17) that $\rho^{m^2} r^{n^2}$ factor ensures that tau-functions τ_n^m satisfy (A.7). Then, by simple calculations one can get that if μ and ν depend on x and y as

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mu & = & \mu^{(0)} \exp\left\{-ir^2(y + x)\right\} \\ \nu & = & \nu^{(0)} \exp\left\{-i\rho^2(y - x)\right\} \end{array} \tag{A.34}$$

then equations (A.27)–(A.32) imply that τ_n^m solve AL equations (A.3)–(A.6).

Noting that determinants (A.9) are invariant under transformations $A \to U^{-1}AU$ one can eliminate (without loss of generality the constants ℓ_j ($\ell_j \to 1$) by redefining the functions $a_k(x, y)$ ($a_k(x, y) \to a_k(x, y)\ell_k$) coming to the expressions for the elements of the matrix A (48). Also, considering solutions of the MRT equations and the FLE it is convenient to use instead of ρ another parameter, s, given by $\rho = is$ (see section 4).

References

[1] A.S. Fokas, 1995, On a class of physically important integrable equations. *Physica D*, **87**, 145–150.

[2] J. Lenells, 2009, Exactly solvable model for nonlinear pulse propagation in optical fibers. *Studies in Applied Mathematics*, **123**, 215–232.

[3] J. Lenells and A.S. Fokas, 2009, On a novel integrable generalization of the nonlinear Schrodinger equation. *Nonlinearity*, **22**, 11–27.

[4] I. Merola, O. Ragnisco and Tu Gui-Zhang, 1994, A novel hierarchy of integrable lattices. *Inverse Problems*, **10**, 1315–1334.

[5] M.J. Ablowitz and J.F. Ladik, 1975, Nonlinear differential-difference equations. *J. Math. Phys.*, **16**, 598–603.

[6] V.E. Vekslerchik, 2002, Functional representation of the Ablowitz-Ladik hierarchy. II. *J. Nonlin. Math. Phys.*, **9**, 157–180.

[7] V.E. Vekslerchik, 1998, Universality of the Ablowitz-Ladik hierarchy. *The Abdus Salam ICTP Preprint*, IC1998052; `arXiv:solv-int/9807005`.

[8] V.E. Vekslerchik, 1995, The 2D Toda lattice and the Ablowitz-Ladik hierarchy, *Inverse Problems*, **11**, 463-479.

[9] V.E. Vekslerchik, 1996, The Davey-Stewartson equation and the Ablowitz-Ladik hierarchy, *Inverse Problems*, **12**, 1057-1074.

[10] V.E. Vekslerchik and V.V. Konotop, 1992 Discrete nonlinear Schrodinger equation under nonvanishing boundary conditions. *Inverse Problems*, **8**, 889–909.